

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/823,013	04/12/2004	Stephen Michael McMahon	822.173	4688
75	12/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	ger & Langsam LLP	RAEVIS, ROBERT R		
19th Floor 805 Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10022			2856	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/823,013	MCMAHON, STEPHEN MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Robert R. Raevis	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-13 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8,9 and 11 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10,12,13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/15/15/are: a) A acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  - * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Election of Figures 1-5B is acknowledged. As to Applicant's traversal, there are thousands of references in the Examiners search files regarding shafts and bores.

Some associate an annular flange with a shank (like elected Figures 1-5B), and others associate an annular flange with a housing (non-elected Figure 6). Regardless, Applicant's claims are directed to two patentably distinct species.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 13, this claim is indefinite as it includes limitations in an alternative form to two patentably distinct species. As Applicant elected the species of Figures 1-5B, he will have to delete reference to the species of non-elected Figure 6.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,10,12,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Schellin.

Taylor teaches a materials tester that employs an analyzer assembly 14 and vacuum tube 102 whose rearward end connects to a vacuum source. The tube penetrates into the ground, which is necessary to carry out sampling.

Taylor does not illustrate use of a coupling device.

As to claims 1-3,10,12,13 it would have been obvious to employ Schellin's coupling device (Figure 1) to permit for a plurality of tubes to be connected together to provide sufficient length to sample/analyze material from a depth of interest. Schellin's coupling device (Figure 1) includes a housing B having a bore and groove; interfacer A having a shank, shoulder and annular flange a on the shank; and resilient member D.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Schellin as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Brekke.

As to claim 4, it would have been obvious to employ a ball assembly with Schellins's coupling as Brekke teaches (Figure 11) that a ball will permit for a test element 37c to be securely attached within a line 196c. Such an assembly requires a straight groove in the interior element for the ball to recess therein.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taylor in view of Schellin as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Barr.

As to claims 6,7, it would have been obvious to employ a gasket with interior positioned spring as Schellin's gasket as Bar teaches that use of a spring permits for fluid conduits to be sealingly wedged together.

As to Applicant's REMARKS, the subject matter of clam 5 has not been incorporated into all of the independent claims that are directed to only elected Figures 1-5B. Obviously the claims are not directed to a hardness tester, which was expressly so in claim 5. No further comment is necessary.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2856

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert R. Raevis whose telephone number is 571-272-2204. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:30am to 4:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAENI)